

## COURT TV

### Closing Argument

DG=Darren Gelber

DG: You know, absolutely I think Garagos is going to call some witnesses to throw some monkey wrench, any monkey wrench he can into the prosecution's timeline and like my colleagues have been saying, his job is to raise doubt. He doesn't have to prove anything.

WOMAN: But, what, of course we know that. What about that Darren.

DG: There's simply no doubt that Peterson is a despicable husband, a foul person, somebody nobody would want married to his or her daughter knowing what we now know about him. There's no smoking gun piece of evidence in this case.

WOMAN: What about the flight evidence that Nicole just brought up?

DG: Well, there's no smoking gun one piece of evidence, but what the prosecution has done fairly skillfully over the course of this trial is piece by piece by piece put in a variety of evidence that may be looked at individually or even a couple of pieces at a time you would say well so what, what does that prove, but the thing that Garagos will have to attack is how all of these things could happen with one person during the course of one investigation of the missing wife and son to be that the Peterson's are expecting.

WOMAN: Yeah.

DG: He will try to dismantle as many pieces of the brick by brick case that the prosecution has built up and then in closing try to tell the jury that he's been able to dismantle only so many of these and some of the things just show that his client is, is really a horrible person, but by maybe pulling the wool out from some of these smaller pieces of evidence --

WOMAN: Um hum.

DG: He'll have something to argue to this jury that they can't believe the whole picture.

WOMAN: Yeah, you know --